

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/789,102	02/27/2004	Peter S. Lu	VITA-009	8072
24353 75	590 04/08/2005		EXAM	INER
BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP			LUCAS, ZACHARIAH	
1900 UNIVERSITY AVENUE SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
EAST PALO ALTO, CA 94303			1648	

DATE MAILED: 04/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/789,102	LU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Zachariah Lucas	1648				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repletion of the provision of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 F	ebruary 2004.	•				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Thi	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application	1.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		on No.				
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •					
application from the International Burea	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed.				
·						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
<ul> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal P 6) ☐ Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, — <u>— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —</u>					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/789,102

Art Unit: 1648

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-7, drawn to methods of screening for inhibitors of oncogenic E6 binding to MAGI-1, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
  - II. Claims 8-14, and 22, drawn to peptides of oncogenic E6, classified in class 514, subclass 2. For the purposes of this action, claims 9-11 are treated as though they depend from claim 8, rather than claim 1.
  - III. Claims 15-21, drawn to methods of reducing oncogenicity or treating a cancer through administration of a peptide from oncogenic E6 protein, classified in class 514, subclass 2.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II and inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the inventions of Group I relate to methods of identifying inhibitors of E6 binding to the PDZ protein MAGI-1, whereas those of Groups II and III relate to a composition comprising, and a method of using a particular peptide. The inventions of Group I are therefore unrelated to the methods of Groups II and III.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,102 Page 3

Art Unit: 1648

3. The inventions of Groups II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the claimed peptides may be used in the methods of Group III, or in methods of making antibodies against the oncogenic E6 protein. Because the peptides of Group II may be used in methods other than those of Group III, the inventions are distinct.

## Species Election

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

If the Applicant elects either of Groups II or III above, the Applicant is additionally required to elect 1) one of a carboxyl, hydroxyl, or tetrazole group

2) one of the structures A-O presented in figure 11- and for the elected structure, the Applicant is additionally required to elect a specific embodiment of the structure (i.e. make an election from relevant R groups of the elected structure, if any).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 8-10, 12-14, and 22 (Group II); and claims 15-21 (Group III) are generic.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,102

Art Unit: 1648

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

#### Conclusion

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, have different classifications, and because the literature and sequence searches required for any one of the groups is not required for the others, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP §

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/789,102

Art Unit: 1648

821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zachariah Lucas whose telephone number is 571-272-0905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8 am to 4:30 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,102

Art Unit: 1648

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on 571-272-0902. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Z. Lucas

Patent Examiner

SUPERVISORY PAVENT EXAMMENT TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600